

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 01/06/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/617,841	07/11/2003	Rafael Storz	5005.1053	7983
23280 7	590 01/06/2005		EXAMINER	
	DAVIDSON & KAP	NGUYEN, THONG Q		
485 SEVENTH AVENUE, 14TH FLOOR NEW YORK, NY 10018			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2872	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/617,841	STORZ ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Thong Q Nguyen	2872			
The MAILING DA Period for Reply	ATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
THE MAILING DATE C  - Extensions of time may be avarafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the lift the period for reply specified find period for reply is specified. Failure to reply within the set of	or extended period for reply will, by statute, be later than three months after the mailing	36(a). In no event, however, may a rep within the statutory minimum of thirty ( ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTh cause the application to become ABAI	ly be timely filed  30) days will be considered timely.  IS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) Responsive to co	mmunication(s) filed on					
3) Since this applica						
closed in accorda	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4a) Of the above 5) ☐ Claim(s) is 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/3 7) ☐ Claim(s) is	are rejected.	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) file Applicant may not Replacement draw	• , ,	☐ accepted or b)☐ objected accepted or b)☐ objected according(s) be held in abeyance on is required if the drawing(s				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119					
a) All b) Som  1. Certified co  2. Certified co  3. Copies of t  application	is made of a claim for foreign e * c) None of: opies of the priority documents opies of the priority documents the certified copies of the prior from the International Bureau letailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Ap ity documents have been re (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)	(DTO 902)	A) [] [-A:: A	mmanı (BTO 412)			
	tent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date brmal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2872

(

## **DETAILED ACTION**

# Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

## **Drawings**

- 2. The drawings contain two sheets of figures 1-2 were received on 7/11/2003. These drawings are objected by the Examiner for the following reason(s).
- 3. The drawings are objected to because the use of the numerical reference "3" in figure 1 is unclear. In particular, in figure 1, there are two numerical references "3" in which the reference "3" appeared on the left is used to refer to a laser and the reference "3" shown in the right side of the figure does not refer to anything. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the

Art Unit: 2872

changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

# Specification

- 4. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.
- 5. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The Summary of the invention is too long and contains numerous details of the invention. Should the summary of the invention be amended for the purpose of providing a brief technical description of the invention and other information be moved to the section of "Detailed description of the Invention"? Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 1-5, 8-14 and 17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Niwa et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,480,804).
- 8. Claims 1-5, 8-14 and 17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Niwa et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,480,804).

Art Unit: 2872

Niwa et al discloses an optical system having a microscope with illuminating apparatus and detecting apparatus for detecting fluorescent light from a sample illuminated by the illuminating apparatus. The system as described in columns 5-8 and shown in figures 1-3 comprises a microscope body (101), an illuminating apparatus (102) and a detecting apparatus (103). The illuminating apparatus comprises a laser source for providing light of at least a particular wavelength for activating a sample stained with fluorescence. The fluorescent from the sample is detected by the detecting apparatus (103) having a spectral element (104) for detecting the value of fluorescent light. The scanning of the fluorescent sample is made via a motor-driven stage (107) so that the whole sample is able to scan in two mutually perpendicular directions so that any point on the sample is able to illuminate and being detected. The movement of the X-Y motor-driven stage is as understood made by a line along X-direction and then in a Y-direction for a continuation of scanning in another line. The use of a processing system for receiving and for analyzing the fluorescent value comprises a computer and a displaying so that the spectral data of the fluorescent light is able to reconstruct and display. It is also noted that a comparison of the value of the fluorescent light and the value(s) stored in computer is able to make as can be seen in columns 6-7.

Regarding to the method claims of claims 1-5 and 8-10, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to set forth a set of steps including the step of illuminating a sample stained with fluorescent agent by using a laser source, then

Art Unit: 2872

detecting the fluorescent light from the illuminated spot of the sample at that point via the use of a spectral detector for detecting the value of the fluorescent light; and then moving or scanning the sample via the X-Y motor-driven stage so that the whole sample is illuminated and detected, and transferring the detected data to a processing system having a computer with memory for storing preset data so that the value of the detected fluorescent light is compared and stored.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claims 6-7 and 15-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Niwa et al in view of Engelhardt (DE 199 02 625, submitted by applicant).

The microscope system provided by Niwa et al for scanning and detecting fluorescent light from a sample stained with fluorescent agent as described in columns 5-8 and shown in figures 1-3 does not disclose that the detecting apparatus comprises a prism (or grating) spectrometer and a multiband detector. However, the use of a detecting apparatus having two detecting elements is suggested by Niwa et al as can be seen in columns 9-14. Regarding to the use of a multiband detector with prism spectrometer for detecting spectral fluorescent light, it is noted that such a us is known in the art as can be seen in the present

specification in page 2 and in the microscope provided by Engelhardt. In particular, Engelhardt discloses a confocal microscope having a detecting system having a prism spectrometer (15) for splitting detected light into a multiple light beams and a multiband detector (25) for receiving the multiple light beams. Thus, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the microscope system provided by Niwa et al by utilizing a detecting apparatus having prism spectrometer and multiband detector as suggested by Engelhardt for the purpose of splitting detecting light into a multiple light beams and a multiband detector for receiving the multiple light beam so that the different wavelengths are able to receive simultaneously and reducing the number of separate detector elements being used.

#### Conclusion

- 11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The additional references are cited as of interest in that each discloses an optical microscope having a detecting system for detecting fluorescent light from a sample.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thong Q Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-2316. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Drew A Dunn can be reached on (571) 272-2312. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2872

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thong Q Nguyen Primary Examiner Art Unit 2872

\*\*\*